BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD APR 2 5 2003

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
THE CITY OF KANKAKEE, an Illinois Municipal Corporation) STATE OF ILLINOIS) Pollution Control Board
Petitioner v.)) No. PCB 03-125
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,) (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal))
Respondent)
MERLIN KARLOCK, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,	No. PCB 03-133) (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal))
Respondent)
MICHAEL WATSON, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,	No. PCB 03-134) (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal))
Respondent)
KEITH RUNYON, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and) Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD;) And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS,) INC.,	No. PCB 03-135 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal)
Respondent)	

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS INC.,)	
Petitioner)	
v.)	No. PCB 03-144
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE,)	(Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal Consolidated)
Respondent)	

NOTICE OF FILING

To: See Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 24, 2003 there caused to be filed via U.S. Mail with the Illinois Pollution Control Board an original and 9 copies of the following document, a copy of which is attached hereto:

City of Kankakee's Response to Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.'s Objections to the City's List of Deponents

Respectfully submitted,

The City of Kankakee

Prepared by: L. Patrick Power #2244357 Corporate Counsel 956 North Fifth Ave. Kankakee, IL 60901 (815) 937-6937

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, PR $\,2\,\,5\,$ 2003

THE CITY OF KANKAKEE, an Illinois Municipal Corporation) Pollution Control Board)
Petitioner v.)) No. PCB 03-125
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,	(Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal))
Respondent)
MERLIN KARLOCK, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	No. PCB 03-133) (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal)))
MICHAEL WATSON, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	No. PCB 03-134 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal))
KEITH RUNYON, Petitioner	
Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,	No. PCB 03-135 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal))
Respondent)

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS INC.,))	
Petitioner)	
v.)	No. PCB 03-144 (Pollution Control Facility
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE,)	Siting Appeal Consolidated)
Respondent)	

RESPONSE TO WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO THE CITY'S LIST OF DEPONENTS

Now comes the City of Kankakee, (hereinafter, the "City"), by and through its attorneys, Assistant City Attorneys L. Patrick Power and Kenneth A. Leshen, and responding to the Waste Management of Illinois, Inc., (hereinafter, the "WMII") objections to its list of deponents, states as follows:

1. The City alleges, on information and belief and based on the documents produced in response to the City's discovery requests that there have been ongoing and massive pre-filing and post-filing contacts between these attorneys. Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. (hereinafter "WMII"), disclosed in its answers to the City's interrogatories that its attorney and agent, Donald Moran, after the end of the hearing and prior to the decision, communicated with Charles Helston and Elizabeth Harvey concerning the substance of the hearing. Each of these attorneys, pursuant to their own declarations and the declarations of Edward D. Smith, State's Attorney of Kankakee, represented separate and distinct entities. Donald Moran's importuning of the County can only be viewed as an improper ex parte effort to influence the decision-maker through communications with its agent, Elizabeth Harvey. Rather than acting as advocates and advisors in a legal forum, these attorneys acted as negotiating agents for their respective clients. The fact that they can each put the initials J.D. behind their names does not allow them to conceal their doings.

- 2. The City acknowledges that the hearing Officer has precluded inquiry into the legislative process concerning the adoption of the County's Solid Waster Plan. However, Hearing officer Halloran did not ask the parties or the Board to put on blinders and ignore the fact that the County's Solid Waste Management Plan designates WMII as the sole provider, a fact buttressed in its relevance and as evidence of pre-judgment by the fact that documents produced trumpet the fact that WMII committed to the County that it would fund the defense of the Plan in any litigation concerning its legitimacy.
 - 3. The salient facts are as follows:
 - a. The County and WMII agreed years ago that the current County waste facility was nearing its capacity, a fact evidenced by much correspondence between the County and WMII.
 - b. The County, recognizing its need and the financial benefits that would inure to it, then designated WMII as the sole provider that would be able to operate a landfill in Kankakee County.
 - c. The only way the County would be able to satisfy it needs, according to its own plan and prejudgment, was to approve the siting proposal of WMII. The City of Kankakee was, at the same time as this prejudgment occurred, seeking to site its own facility, a fact that made the County's complicity with WMII all the more urgent.
 - d. WMII, through Dale Hoekstra, Division Vice-President, Illinois Landfill Division, in correspondence January 7, 2002, addressed to Karl Kruse and copied to the Kankakee County Board Members, Lee Addleman, Chuck Helsten, Ed Smith, and **Dennis Wilt** (emphasis added) pledge the resources of WMII to provide a full and complete defense for the County in the event of a legal challenge to the Plan.
 - e. Dennis Wilt prepared and spearheaded WMII's proposed host agreement with the County and participated in negotiations with Chuck Helsten and representatives of the County.
- 4. The issue is whether the communications between the parties amongst themselves and with the applicant, show or tend to show prejudgment and fundamental unfairness. It is disenguous in the extreme for WMII to try to hide its complicity with the County in the prejudgment of its siting application by claiming that depositions of its attorneys somehow

disrupts these proceedings. These are issues that perhaps would have been best considered by WMII prior to its improper conduct.

- 5. According to WMII's theory, each of the attorneys who engaged in improper communications outside of the hearing process would be protected from cross-examination, the great engine of truth seeking in the adversarial process. Shielding improper conduct, if any occurred, would indeed lower the standards of the legal profession.
- 6. WMII objects to the City identification of Lee Addleman as a deponent, arguing that this identification was made solely to harass. WMII's assertion that the City's action was made solely to harass conveniently avoids the applicable rules. It is incumbent on WMII to provide a physician's affidavit substantiating Mr. Addleman's unavailability rather than rancorously attacking the City.
- 7. The WMII concludes its argument with the catchall phrase that the City is engaged in a fishing expedition. If the City is fishing, it is only because the County and WMII have filled the pond with such a rich array of fish. The issue in discovery is whether the discovery regarding pre-filing contacts may be probative of prejudgment of adjudicative facts, which is an element to be considered in assessing fundamental fairness. See County of Kankakee v. City of Kankakee, Town and Country Utilities, Inc. and Kankakee Regional Landfill, L.L.C., PCB 03-31, PCB 03-33, PCB, 03-35 (cons.) (Jan. 23, 2003).
- 8. Lastly, WMII argues that the City has identified an excessive number of deponents and impliedly queries whether the depositions can be timely conducted. The truncated time limits are solely the responsibility of WMII. The inconvenience to attorneys and deponents is minimal as compared to the rights of the citizenry of Kankakee County to have a full and complete airing of the issues presented to the Board for its consideration and decision.
- 9. The City adopts and ratifies in full Petitioner Michael Watson's Response to WMII's Objections to Watson's Request for Depositions.

Wherefore, the City of Kankakee respectfully requests the IPCB Hearing officer to overrule the WMII's objections, so wrongfully brought, and require the County to produce the requested persons for their depositions.

Respectfully submitted,

The City of Kankakee

By its attorneys, L. Patrick Power and Kenneth A. Leshen, Assistant City

Attorneys

Prepared by: L. Patrick Power and Kenneth A. Leshen, Assistant City Attorneys 956 N. Fifth Kankakee, IL 60901 937-6937 Reg. No. 03127454 Reg. No. 2244357

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

The undersigned, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1-109 of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, hereby under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, certifies that on April 23, 2003, a copy of the foregoing City of Kankakee's Response to the Objections of its List of Deponents was served upon:

Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601-3218

Charles F. Helsten Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1389 Rockford, IL 61105-1389 Fax: (815) 963-9989

Kenneth Leshen One Dearborn Square, Suite 550 Kankakee, IL 60901 (815) 933-3385 (815) 933-3397 Fax

George Mueller Attorney at Law 501 State Street Ottawa, IL 61350 (815) 261-2149 (815) 433-4913 Fax

Keith Runyon 1165 Plum Creek Dr. #D Bourbonnais, IL 60914 (815) 937-9838 (815) 937-9164 Fax Donald J. Moran Attorney at Law 161 N. Clark, Suite 3100 Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 261-2149 (312) 261-1149 Fax

Elizabeth Harvey, Esq. One IBM Plaza, Suite 2900 330 N. Wabash Chicago, IL 60611 (312) 321-9100 (312) 321-0990 Fax

Jennifer J. Sackett Pohlenz, Attorney at Law 175 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 540-7540 (312) 540-0578 Fax

Leland Milk 6903 S. Route 45-52 Chebanse, IL 60922

Patricia O'Dell 1242 Arrowhead Dr. Bourbonnais, IL 60914

Brad Halloran, Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601-3218 Fax: (312) 814-3669

By depositing a copy thereof, enclosed in an envelope in the United States Mail at Kankakee, Illinois, proper postage prepaid, before the hour of 6:00 p.m., on 2014 day of April 2003, addressed as above.

UBSCRIBED AND WORN TO be on

before me this 😞

day of April 2003

Prepared by: L. Patrick Power Assistant City Attorney 956 N. Fifth Avenue

Kankakee, IL 60901

(815) 937-6937

Notary Publi

Kenneth A. Leshen Assistant City Attorney One Dearborn Square, Suite 550

Kankakee, IL 60901

(815) 933-3385

RECEIVED

CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE I	ILLINOIS	POLLUTION	CONTROL	BOARD

APR 2 5 2003

THE CITY OF KANKAKEE, an Illinois Municipal Corporation	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
Petitioner v.) No. PCB 03-125
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,	 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal)
Respondent)
MERLIN KARLOCK, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	No. PCB 03-133) (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal))
MICHAEL WATSON, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	No. PCB 03-134) (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal))
KEITH RUNYON, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,	No. PCB 03-135 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal)
Respondent)

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS)
INC.,)
Petitioner)
v.) No. PCB 03-144
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE,	(Pollution Control FacilitySiting Appeal Consolidated)
Respondent)

NOTICE OF FILING

To: See Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 24, 2003 there caused to be filed via U.S. Mail with the Illinois Pollution Control Board an original and 9 copies of the following document, a copy of which is attached hereto:

City of Kankakee's Response to County of Kankakee's Objections to the City's List of Deponents

Respectfully submitted,

The City of Kankakee

By:

Attorney for City of Kankakee

Prepared by: L. Patrick Power #2244357 Corporate Counsel 956 North Fifth Ave. Kankakee, IL 60901 (815) 937-6937

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

THE CITY OF KANKAKEE, an Illinois Municipal Corporation))
Petitioner v.)) No. PCB 03-125
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	(Third-Party Pollution Control Facility) Siting Appeal)))
MERLIN KARLOCK, Petitioner))))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	No. PCB 03-133 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal))
MICHAEL WATSON, Petitioner)))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	No. PCB 03-134 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal))
KEITH RUNYON, Petitioner))
v. COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, a body politic and Corporate; KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD; And WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC., Respondent	No. PCB 03-135 (Third-Party Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal)

	CLERK'S OFFICE
WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS INC., Petitioner) APR 2 5 2003
) STATE OF ILLINOIS) Pollution Control Board
v.) No. PCB 03-144) (Pollution Control Facility
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE,	SitingAppeal Consolidated)
Respondent	,)

RESPONSE TO THE COUNTY OF KANKAKEE'S OBJECTIONS TO THE CITY'S LIST OF DEPONENTS

Now comes the City of Kankakee, (hereinafter, the "City"), by and through its attorneys, Assistant City Attorneys L. Patrick Power and Kenneth A. Leshen, and responding to the County of Kankakee's (hereinafter, the "County") objections to its list of deponents, states as follows:

- 1. The County brandishes the concept of attorney-client privilege in an effort to thwart the truth seeking process. Consequently, it is first important to delineate which attorney represented which entity or persons.
- 2. The record of proceedings of the siting hearing, Volume I, pages 2 and 3, recite the appearances of counsel. (See attached hereto and incorporated herein, pages 2 and three of Volume I). Charles Helston of Hinshaw and Culbertson represented the Kankakee County Staff. Elizabeth Harvey, accompanied by Edward d. Smith, the duly elected State's Attorney of Kankakee County, represented the Kankakee County Regional Planning Commission and the Kankakee County Board.
- 3. As a result of the foregoing, any communications between Charles Helston and any other attorneys from the firm of Hinshaw and Culbertson on the one hand and Edward D. Smith, duly elected State's Attorney of Kankakee County and Elizabeth Harvey on the other hand, are not privileged.

- 4. The City alleges, on information and belief and based on the documents produced in response to the City's discovery requests that there have been ongoing and massive pre-filing and post-filing contacts between these attorneys. Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. (hereinafter "WMII"), disclosed in its answers to the City's interrogatories that its attorney and agent, Donald Moran, after the end of the hearing and prior to the decision, communicated with Charles Helston and Elizabeth Harvey concerning the substance of the hearing. Each of these attorneys, pursuant to their own declarations and the declarations of Edward D. Smith, State's Attorney of Kankakee, represented separate and distinct entities. Donald Moran's importuning of the County can only be viewed as an improper ex parte effort to influence the decision-maker through communications with its agent, Elizabeth Harvey. Rather than acting as advocates and advisors in a legal forum, these attorneys acted as negotiating agents for their respective clients. The fact that they can each put the initials J.D. behind their names does not allow them to conceal their doings.
- 5. The City acknowledges that the hearing Officer has precluded inquiry into the legislative process concerning the adoption of the County's Solid Waster Plan. However, Hearing officer Halloran did not ask the parties or the Board to put on blinders and ignore the fact that the County's Solid Waste Management Plan designates WMII as the sole provider, a fact buttressed in its relevance and as evidence of pre-judgment by the fact that documents produced trumpet the fact that WMII committed to the County that it would fund the defense of the Plan in any litigation concerning its legitimacy.
 - 6. The salient facts are as follows:
 - a. The County and WMII agreed years ago that the current County waste facility was nearing its capacity, a fact evidenced by much correspondence between the County and WMII.
 - b. The County, recognizing its need and the financial benefits that would inure to it, then designated WMII as the sole provider that would be able to operate a landfill in Kankakee County.

- c. The only way the County would be able to satisfy it needs, according to its own plan and prejudgment, was to approve the siting proposal of WMII. The City of Kankakee was, at the same time as this prejudgment occurred, seeking to site its own facility, a fact that made the County's complicity with WMII all the more urgent.
- 7. Contrary to the assertions of the County, the issue is not whether Smith, Gorski, Helston and Harvey were the applicant. The issue is whether the communications between the parties amongst themselves and with the applicant, show or tend to show prejudgment and fundamental unfairness.
- 8. The County alleges that depositions of these attorneys would somehow be disruptive of the adversarial process and lower the standards of the legal profession. According to this theory, each of the attorneys who engaged in improper ex parte communications outside of the hearing process would be protected from cross-examination, the great engine of truth seeking in the adversarial process. Shielding improper conduct, if any occurred, would indeed lower the standards of the legal profession.
- 9. The County concludes its argument with the catchall phrase that the City is engaged in a fishing expedition. If the City is fishing, it is only because the County and WMII have filled the pond with such a rich array of fish. The issue in discovery is whether the discovery regarding pre-filing contacts may be probative of prejudgment of adjudicative facts, which is an element to be considered in assessing fundamental fairness. See <u>County of Kankakee v. City of Kankakee</u>, Town and Country Utilities, Inc. and Kanakee Regional Landfill, L.L.C., PCB 03-31, PCB 03-33, PCB, 03-35 (cons.) (Jan. 23, 2003).
- 10. The City adopts and ratifies in full Petitioner Michael Watson's Response to County of Kankakee's Objections to Watson's Request for Depositions.

Wherefore, the City of Kankakee respectfully requests the IPCB Hearing officer to overrule the County's objections, so wrongfully brought, and require the County to produce the requested persons for their depositions.

Respectfully submitted,

The City of Kankakee

By its attorneys, L. Patrick Power and Kenneth A.

Leshen, Assistant City

Attorneys

Prepared by: L. Patrick Power and Kenneth A. Leshen, Assistant City Attorneys 956 N. Fifth Kankakee, IL 60901 937-6937 Reg. No. 03127454

Reg. No. 2244357

1	KANKAKEE COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSIONERS
2	Mr. George Washington, Jr.
3	Ms. Loretto Cowhig
	Mr. Craig Bayston Mr. Michael Spilsbury
4	Mr. James Tripp
5	Mr. Ralph Paarlberg Mr. Curt Saindon
•	Mr. Dennis Peters
6	Mr. Mike Finnegan Mr. John Meyer
7	Mr. David Bergdahl
	Mr. Barry Jaffe
8	KANKAKEE COUNTY BOARD MEMBERS
9	
10	Mr. Wes Wiseman Mr. Duane Bertrand
10	Mr. Red Marcotte
11	Mr. Leonard Martin
12	Ms. Ann Bernard Mr. Leo Whitten
	Mr. George Hoffman
13	Mr. Bill Olthoff
14	Mr. Sam Nicholos Ms. Karen Hertzberger
15	APPEARANCES:
16	MR. DONALD MORAN,
17	Appeared on behalf of Waste Management, Applicant;
	Appricanc,
18	MR. CHARLES HELSTEN,
19	Appeared on behalf of the Kankakee County Staff;
	MS. ELIZABETH S. HARVEY,
20	Appeared on behalf of the Kankakee County Regional Planning Commission and the Kankakee
21	County Board;
22	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	MR. EDWARD SMITH,
3	Kankakee County State's Attorney, Appeared on behalf of the Kankakee County Regional Planning Commission;
4	
5	MR. L. PATRICK POWER, Appeared on behalf of the City of Kankakee;
6	MR. GEORGE MUELLER, Appeared on behalf of Mr. Merlin Karlock;
7	MS. JENNIFER J. SACKETT POHLENZ,
8	Appeared on behalf Mr. Michael Watson;
9	MR. DAVID FLYNN, Appeared on behalf of Mr. Michael Watson;
10	MR. KENNETH BLEYER,
11	Appeared on behalf of Mr. Richard Murray;
12	MR. LEE MILK, Individually;
13	MS. PATRICIA O'DELL, Individually;
14	MR. KEITH RUNYON, Individually.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	,
22	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

The undersigned, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1-109 of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, hereby under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, certifies that on April 23, 2003, a copy of the foregoing City of Kankakee's Response to the Objections of its List of Deponents was served upon:

Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601-3218

Charles F. Helsten Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1389 Rockford, IL 61105-1389 Fax: (815) 963-9989

Kenneth Leshen One Dearborn Square, Suite 550 Kankakee, IL 60901 (815) 933-3385 (815) 933-3397 Fax

George Mueller Attorney at Law 501 State Street Ottawa, IL 61350 (815) 261-2149 (815) 433-4913 Fax

Keith Runyon 1165 Plum Creek Dr. #D Bourbonnais, IL 60914 (815) 937-9838 (815) 937-9164 Fax

Donald J. Moran Attorney at Law 161 N. Clark, Suite 3100 Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 261-2149 (312) 261-1149 Fax

Elizabeth Harvey, Esq. One IBM Plaza, Suite 2900 330 N. Wabash Chicago, IL 60611 (312) 321-9100 (312) 321-0990 Fax

Jennifer J. Sackett Pohlenz, Attorney at Law 175 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 540-7540 (312) 540-0578 Fax

Leland Milk 6903 S. Route 45-52 Chebanse, IL 60922

Patricia O'Dell 1242 Arrowhead Dr. Bourbonnais, IL 60914

Brad Halloran, Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601-3218 Fax: (312) 814-3669

By depositing a copy thereof, enclosed in an envelope in the United States Mail at Kankakee, Illinois, proper postage prepaid, before the hour of 6:00 p.m., on 25th day of April 2003, addressed as above.

ore me this d

Prepared by: L. Patrick Power Assistant City Attorney 956 N. Fifth Avenue Kankakee, IL 60901

Assistant City Attorney One Dearborn Square, Suite 550 Kankakee, IL 60901 (815) 933-3385

Kenneth A. Leshen

Notary F

(815) 937-6937